



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DW Dec-07

CHARLES G. CALL
215 W. HURON ST APT 2
CHICAGO IL 60610-3331

COPY MAILED

DEC 19 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Wang et al. :
Application Number: 10/696482 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filing Date: 10/29/2003 :
Attorney Docket Number: D-19 :
:

This is a decision on the petition filed on October 29, 2007,
under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ to revive the above-identified
application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on March 30, 2007, for failure
to properly respond to the non-final Office action mailed on
December 29, 2006, which set a three (3) month shortened
statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply
under 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was
mailed on October 5, 2007.

Petitioner has filed an amendment as the required reply.

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay
in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a
lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37
CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application
abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing
application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995,
and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a
request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent,
abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply
must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application,
abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the
publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for
the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.
The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay
was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to
37 CFR 1.137(c)).

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2163 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions